

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,574	ANDERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew C. Fagan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes (*Independent claims*)

Prior art documents discussed:

No


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To more clearly define the invention the independent claims were amended to incorporate the domino gate to include a CMOS domino gate. Furthermore, the formal drawing incorporating the element numbers more formally are accepted.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)